

21.01.2019

Questions and answers - Mid-Term Review- *Inclusive Growth in Mozambique – Scaling-Up Research and Capacity*

Question 1) Ref. ToR/page 7: “The field work will focus in Maputo, where the state institutions and other stakeholders are located. However, the evaluators shall assess also, how the Programme has been able to do its outreach work in other provinces of the country.” Kindly confirm whether it will be sufficient to budget the field trip only to Maputo?

Answer to Question 1: The decision whether the evaluators should travel outside of Maputo in order to assess the outreach work in the provinces is left to the Tenderer. It is not obligatory.

Question 2) Ref. ToR/page 7: Please confirm how much flexibility would be allowed regarding the tentative time schedule presented in the ToR ?

Answer to Question 2: The tentative time schedule presented in the ToR is our preferred schedule. The tenderers are expected to give their work plan as part of their Technical plan and approach. The work plan will be evaluated as part of the approach.

Question 3) Ref. Annex 5/Price Tender Form: “The Price Tender shall also include the breakdown covering the fees per expert indicating total number of days and unit cost (fee/expert/day) as well as the cost breakdown (TABLE B)” – kindly confirm whether the “cost breakdown” refers here only to the consultancy fees and the breakdown of reimbursable costs is not needed.

Answer to Question 3: The cost breakdown (TABLE B) is expected to include also the reimbursable costs.

Question 4) Is there any page limit for any of the requested annexes, 2-5 and 7-8?

Answer to Question 4: There is no page limit to the requested annexes (2-5 and 7-8).

Question 5) Ref. ITT/page 6/Team as a whole: “Demonstrated experience in the thematic fields,...” – kindly confirm which thematic fields are referred here?

Answer to Question 5: The thematic fields referred here are development economics, macroeconomics, political economy, poverty and inequality.

Question 6) The invitation to tender states that references from the last 10 years are regarded as the most relevant, but it is said in the Annex 2A Reference form, that the references must be dated no later than three years before the submission date for the tender. Are references up to 10 years old accepted?

Answer to Question 6: The Annex 2A is the reference form for **the Tenderer** (e.g. consulting company). For the Tenderer the references must be dated no later than three years before the submission date for the tender.

What it comes to the **members of the evaluation team**, the references from the last 10 years are regarded as the most relevant, as written in the ITT.

Question 7) Has any of the programme's partners conducted / commissioned evaluations or reviews of the programme or parts of it? If yes, is the evaluation/review and the data collected for it available for comparative analysis?

Answer to Question 7: The Programme has not been evaluated so far.

Question 8) Is there an in-depth baseline study and / or monitoring data related to Log Frame indicators available on the programme?

Answer to Question 8: There is no baseline study. However, monitoring data related to Log Frame indicators can be found in annual activity report. **This will be added to annexes of the Tender.**

Question 9) Is there any flexibility in the time plan mentioned in the TOR for the implementation of the tendered assignment: to clarify we were hoping there would be some flexibility in regard pushing the timing of the field work and the delivery of the draft and final reports by a month or two.

Answer to Question 9: Please see the answer to Question 2.

