Reappraisal and possible reformulation of Support to Tanzania's Innovation System (TANZIS) Programme

Questions and answers 14.3.2018

Question 1:

In this re-appraisal consultancy, does the MFA accept appraisal references as a TENDERER's references for the assignment? (Ref. ITT page 11, 3. Technical and Professional Ability. The definition for the "planning" in the Manual for Bilateral Programmes of the Ministry for Foreign affairs of Finland is not clear.)

The Requirements indicate that "The tenderer has at least two (2) references indicating experience in planning or implementing multi-annual development cooperation projects/programs in developing countries (ODA eligible according to OECD DAC)". Could you please clarify: Does a project Appraisal and related reformulation of the project document qualify for minimum requirements?

In addition to project or programme implementation, design or planning, including assignments for identification and formulation, also references on appraisals or other evaluations are accepted as a tenderer reference.

Question 2:

In which of the following formats should we present the Financial Tender:

- a. As two separate tenders in two formats: one for less than 60 000 eur and one for less than 40 000 eur?
- b. Two tenders in one format, first one below 60 000 eur and the other one below 40 000 eur?
- c. One tender that is below 60 000 in one format?

The financial tender should be presented as two tenders in one format (option b above) with the first part maximum of 60,000 euros and the second maximum of 40,000 euros (Annex 5). The price subject to comparison is 100,000 euros.

Question 3:

According to the ITT's technical tender points for the team as whole: "Preference is given to experience from Tanzania. Experience from least developed countries (as defined by OECD DAC) is scored higher than experience from other developing countries (eligible to receive official development assistance according to OECD DAC)."

- a. What is the weight of the preference to experience from Tanzania? E.g. is the weight for the experience from Ethiopia 50% of the experience from Tanzania or is it 1%.
- b. What is scoring system to score experience from least developed countries (as defined by OECD DAC) higher than experience from other developing countries?
- c. For example, is not very relevant short term experience from the other least developed country scored higher than very relevant long term experience from the developing country (which is not least developed)?

For the team as a whole, experience from Tanzania is scored higher than experience from other countries. Second highest score is given to other least developed countries which are scored higher than other countries.

Experience is emphasized in scoring. Thus, relevant experience from a developing country which does not belong to the group of least developed countries is scored higher than not relevant experience from a least developed country.